

Differentiation of Integrals

Shunchao Long

Abstract

No functions class for general measurable sets classes are known whose functions have the property of differentiability of integrals associated to such sets classes.

In this paper, we give some subspaces of L^s with $1 < s < \infty$, whose functions are proven to have the differentiability of integrals associated to measurable sets classes in $\mathbf{R}^n$, this gives an answer to a question stated by Stein in his book Harmonic Analysis. We give also a example of some functions in these classes on $\mathbf{R}^2$, which is continuous nowhere.

1. Introduction It is considered that the question of the differentiability of integrals in $\mathbf{R}^n$ represents one of the main issues in real-variable theory, Stein formulated this question in [9] as follows:

Problem A for what collections $\mathcal{C}$ of sets $\{C\}$, is it true that for "all" f

$$\lim_{\text{diam}(C) \rightarrow 0, x \in C \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{|C|} \int_C f(y) dy = f(x) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbf{R}^n? \quad (1)$$

Problem B given $\mathcal{C}$, for what classes of functions f does (1) hold?

If $\mathcal{C} = \{\text{all cubes}\}$ or $\{\text{all balls}\}$, the Lebesgue's differentiation theorem asserts that (1) holds for all f in L^1_{loc} , (see [9]).

If $\mathcal{C} = \{\text{rectangles : whose major axes point in a fixed directions}\}$, then (1) holds for all f in L^p_{loc} with $p > 1$, but fails when $p = 1$, (see [9]).

If $\mathcal{C} = \{\text{all rectangles}\}$, for each p with $1 \leq p < \infty$, there exists an $f \in L^p$ such that (1) does not hold, (see [9]).

If $\mathcal{C} = \{\text{tubes that point in the given directions}\}$, then (1) holds for all f in L^p_{loc} with $p > 1$, (see [6]).

If the set in $\mathcal{C}$ have bounded eccentricity, in the sense that the ratio between the smallest ball containing C to the largest ball contained in C is uniformly bounded over $C \in \mathcal{C}$, then (1) holds for all f in L^1_{loc} , (see [9]).

Efforts on the issues above includes the works in a lot of literatures, for example, [7, 3, 8, 5, 1], etc.

Even if for the class of arbitrary rectangles, as we see, L^p is not suitable for (1). So far, only the class C_c of continuous functions with compact supports for the class of arbitrary rectangles, while no class for general measurable sets classes, have been seen in the literatures, whose functions f satisfying (1).

Let $\mathcal{C}_0 = \{\text{all measurable sets } C \subset \mathbf{R}^n \text{ with } |C| \neq 0\}$. In this paper, we give some functions classes on $\mathbf{R}^n$, which are subspaces of L^p with $1 < p < \infty$, whose functions are proven to satisfy (1) for $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_0$. This gives an answer for Problem B above. We give also a example of some functions in these classes on $\mathbf{R}^2$, which is continuous nowhere.

Let $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{\text{cubes } Q : |Q| \geq 1\}$. Let $0 < p < \infty, -n < \alpha < \infty$. Denote that $\bar{p} = \min\{p, 1\}$.

Definition 1 (A) $a(x)$ is said to be a (p, α) -block, if

$$(i) \quad \text{supp } a \subseteq Q \in \mathcal{C}_1, \quad (ii) \quad \|a\|_{L^\infty} \leq |Q|^{-\alpha/pn-1/p}.$$

(B) A (p, α) -block $a(x)$ is said to be a (p, α) -continuous block, if $a(x) \in C_c$.

(C) $a(x)$ is said to be a (p, α) -characteristic block, if $a(x) = |Q|^{-\alpha/pn-1/p} \chi_Q(x)$ with $Q \in \mathcal{C}_1$

Definition 2 (A) $BL^{p,\alpha} = \{f : f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_k a_k, \text{ where each } a_k \text{ is a } (p, \alpha) \text{-block, } \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{\bar{p}} < +\infty\}$, here, the "convergence" means a.e. convergence. Moreover, define a quasinorm on $BL^{p,\alpha}$ by $\|f\|_{BL^{p,\alpha}} = \inf \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{\bar{p}} \right)^{1/\bar{p}}$, where the infimum is taken over all the a.e-equal forms of $f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_k a_k$.

(B) $BC^{p,\alpha}$ is defined if each a_k in the definition above is a (p, α) -continuous block.

(C) $B^{p,\alpha}$ is defined if each a_k in the definition above is a (p, α) -characteristic block.

2. The Maximal Theorem Let

$$M_{C_0} f(x) = \sup_{x \in C \in \mathcal{C}_0, \text{diam}(C) < 1} \frac{1}{|C|} \int_C |f(y)| dy. \quad (2)$$

Theorem 1 Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$. M_{C_0} is bounded on $BL^{p,\alpha}$.

We will prove Theorem 1 with the aid of the idea of [2].

Definition 3 Let $0 < p < +\infty, -n < \alpha < +\infty, -\infty < A_0, B_0 < \infty, A_0 - B_0 = -\frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{np}$ and $-\infty < \varepsilon < A_0$. Set $a = A_0 - \varepsilon$, and $b = B_0 - \varepsilon$. A function $M(x) \in L^\infty$ is said to be a (p, α, ε) -molecular (centered at x_0), if

$$(i) \quad M(x)|x - x_0|^{nb} \in L^\infty, \quad (ii) \quad \|M\|_{L^\infty}^{a/b} \|M(x)|x - x_0|^{nb}\|_{L^\infty}^{1-a/b} \equiv \mathfrak{R}(M) < \infty.$$

Theorem 2 Let $p, \alpha, \varepsilon, a, b$ as in Definition 3. Let $M(x)$ be a (p, α, ε) -molecular centered at any point $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$. If there exists $Q_0 \in \mathcal{C}_1$ such that

$$\|M\|_{L^\infty} \leq |Q_0|^{-1/p-\alpha/np},$$

then $M \in BL^{p,\alpha}$ and $\|M\|_{BL^{p,\alpha}} \leq C \mathfrak{R}(M)$, where the constant C is independent of M .

Proof Without loss of generality, we can assume $\mathfrak{R}(M) = 1$. In fact, assume $\|M\|_{BL^{p,\alpha}} \leq C$ holds whenever $\mathfrak{R}(M) = 1$. Then, for general M , let $M' = M/\mathfrak{R}(M)$. We have $\mathfrak{R}(M') = 1$ and hence $\|\mathfrak{R}(M)M'\|_{BL^{p,\alpha}} \leq \mathfrak{R}(M)\|M'\|_{BL^{p,\alpha}} \leq C\mathfrak{R}(M)$. And we can also assume that $M(x)$ has the center at $x_0 = 0$ by a translation transformation.

Define cube Q_1 centered at 0 whose sides parallel to the axes by setting

$$\|M\|_{L^\infty} = |Q_1|^{-1/p-\alpha/np}.$$

We see that $|Q_1| \geq |Q_0|$ since $|Q_1|^{-1/p-\alpha/np} \leq |Q_0|^{-1/p-\alpha/np}$ and $\alpha > -n$, so we can assume that $Q_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1$. Let

$$E_0 = Q_1, E_k = 2^k Q_1 \setminus 2^{k-1} Q_1, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Set $M_k = M_{\chi_{E_k}}$. By $\mathfrak{R}(M) = 1$, we have

$$\|M(x)|x|^{nb}\|_{L^\infty} = \|M\|_{L^\infty}^{-\frac{a}{b} \frac{b}{b-a}} = |Q_1|^{-(-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\alpha}{np}) \frac{a}{b-a}} = |Q_1|^a.$$

For $x \in E_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$|M_k(x)| = |M_k(x)||x|^{nb}|x|^{-nb} \leq |2^{k-1}Q_1|^{-b}|M_k(x)||x|^{nb} = 2^{nb}|2^kQ_1|^{-b}|M_k(x)||x|^{nb},$$

since $b > 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_k\|_{L^\infty} &\leq 2^{nb}|2^kQ_1|^{-b} \|M_k(x)|x|^{nb}\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2^{nb}|2^kQ_1|^{-b}|Q_1|^a \\ &= 2^{nb}2^{-kna}|2^kQ_1|^{-1/p-\alpha/np}, \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, while

$$\|M_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|M\|_{L^\infty} = |Q_1|^{-1/p-\alpha/np} \leq 2^{nb}|Q_1|^{-1/p-\alpha/np}.$$

Let

$$a_k(x) = 2^{-nb}2^{kna}M_k(x), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

then

$$M(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{nb}2^{-kna}2^{-nb}2^{kna}M_k(x) = 2^{nb} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kna}a_k(x),$$

and each a_k is a (p, α) -block centered at 0 with $\text{supp } a_k \subset 2^kQ_1$, and

$$2^{nb} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\bar{p}ank} \right\}^{1/\bar{p}} = C < \infty$$

since $a > 0$. That is $\|M\|_{BL^{p,\alpha}} \leq C$. Thus, Theorem 2 is proved.

Proposition 1 Let $0 < p < \infty$, $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$. Then,

$$\|M_{C_0}h\|_{BL^{p,\alpha}} \leq C,$$

for a (p, α) -block h , where constant C is independent of h .

Proof By the molecular theorem, it suffices to check that $M_{C_0}h$ is a (p, α, ε) -molecular centered at x_0 for every (p, α) -block h centered at x_0 and $\mathfrak{R}(M_{C_0}h) \leq C$, where C is independent of h . Given a (p, α) -block h with $\text{supp } h \subset Q_0$ in $\mathcal{C}_1$ centered at x_0 . We see that $\|M_{C_0}h\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|h\|_{L^\infty} \leq |Q_0|^{-1/p-\alpha/np}$. Since $1 - 1/p - \alpha/np > 0$, we can choose ε such that

$$0 < \varepsilon < \min\{1 - 1/p - \alpha/np, 1\},$$

and set

$$a = 1 - 1/p - \alpha/np - \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad b = 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Clearly, $a > 0, b > 0$ and $b - a = (n + \alpha)/np > 0$. To get $\Re(M_{C_0}h) \leq C$, it suffices to prove that

$$J =: \|M_{C_0}h(x)|x - x_0|^{nb}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C|Q_0|^b\|h\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (3)$$

Write

$$J \leq \|M_{C_0}h(x)|x - x_0|^{nb}\chi_{2Q_0}(x)\|_{L^\infty} + \|M_{C_0}h(x)|x - x_0|^{nb}\chi_{(2Q_0)^c}(x)\|_{L^\infty} =: J_1 + J_2.$$

For J_1 , we have that

$$J_1 \leq \|M_{C_0}h\|_{L^\infty} \| |x - x_0|^{nb}\chi_{2Q_0}(x) \|_{L^\infty} \leq C|Q_0|^b\|h\|_{L^\infty}$$

since $b > 0$ and L^∞ boundedness of M_{C_0} . For J_2 , we see that

$$M_{C_0}h(x) = \sup_{x \in C \in \mathcal{C}_0, \text{diam}(C) < 1} \frac{1}{|C|} \int_C |h(y)| dy = \sup_{x \in C \in \mathcal{C}_0, \text{diam}(C) < 1} \frac{1}{|C|} \int_{C \cap Q_0} |h(y)| dy = 0$$

for $x \in (2Q_0)^c$, since $C \cap Q_0 = \emptyset$. So, $J_2 = 0$. Thus, (3) holds. Proposition 1 follows.

Theorem 1 follows easily from Proposition 1.

Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $-n < \alpha < n(p - 1)$, it is known that $BL^{p,\alpha} \subset L^{\frac{np}{n+\alpha}}$, see [4]. Then,

Corollary 1 Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $-n < \alpha < n(p - 1)$. M_{C_0} is bounded from $BL^{p,\alpha}$ to $L^{\frac{np}{n+\alpha}}$.

3. The Differentiation Theorems By Corollary 1 and a standard arguments, we have that

Theorem 3 Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $-n < \alpha < n(p - 1)$. Let B be a subspace of $BL^{p,\alpha}$. If (1) holds as $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_0$ for the functions in a dense subspace D of B , then (1) holds for all functions in B .

$BC^{p,\alpha}$ and $B^{p,\alpha}$ are in $BL^{p,\alpha}$. At the same time, we see that

Property 1 Let $0 < p < \infty$, and $-n < \alpha < \infty$. C_c is dense in $BC^{p,\alpha}$.

Proof Let $f \in BC^{p,\alpha}$, i.e. $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k a_k(x)$ where each a_k is a (p, α) -continuous block with $\text{supp } a_k \subseteq Q_k$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{\bar{p}} < \infty$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an i_0 such that $\sum_{k=i_0+1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{\bar{p}} < \varepsilon^{\bar{p}}$. Let $f_{i_0}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{i_0} \lambda_k a_k(x)$, we see that $f_{i_0} \in C_c$, and

$$\|f - f_{i_0}\|_{BC^{p,\alpha}}^{\bar{p}} = \left\| \sum_{k=i_0+1}^{\infty} \lambda_k a_k \right\|_{BC^{p,\alpha}}^{\bar{p}} \leq \sum_{k=i_0+1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{\bar{p}} < \varepsilon^{\bar{p}}.$$

Thus, Property 1 holds.

Let $\mathcal{C}_R$ be the collection of arbitrary rectangles in $\mathbf{R}^n$. it is known that (1) holds as $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_R$ for all functions in C_c . So, we have that

Corollary 2 Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $-n < \alpha < n(p - 1)$. (1) holds as $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_R$ for all functions in $BC^{p,\alpha}$.

Let $FB^{p,\alpha}$ be the functions class consists of finite linear combinations of (p, α) -characteristic blocks. It is easy to see that $FB^{p,\alpha}$ is dense in $B^{p,\alpha}$. While (1) holds as

$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_0$ for all functions in $FB^{p,\alpha}$, in fact, for each (p, α) -characteristic block a_k with support set Q (cube), the equation in (1) holds for $x \in Q \setminus \partial Q$ (inner point of Q). So,

Corollary 3 Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$. (1) holds as $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_0$ for all functions in $B^{p,\alpha}$.

4. A count example Denote $\mathbf{Q}$ the set of rational numbers. Denote I_i the closed interval $[i, i+1]$, $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let $\mathbf{Q}_{i,j} = \{(r, q) \in I_i \times I_j : r, q \in \mathbf{Q}\}$. Let $E_{r,q}^{i,j}$ be the closed cube with sides parallel to the axes, with side length 1 and with a vertex (r, q) in the upper right corner. Let

$$f_{i,j}(x) = \sum_{(r,q) \in \mathbf{Q}_{i,j}} \lambda_{r,q}^{i,j} \chi_{E_{r,q}^{i,j}}(x),$$

with each $\lambda_{r,q}^{i,j} > 0$ for even j and all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\lambda_{r,q}^{i,j} < 0$ for odd j and all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $\sum_{(r,q) \in \mathbf{Q}_{i,j}} |\lambda_{r,q}^{i,j}|^{\bar{p}} < \infty$. Let

$$g(x) = \sum_{i,j} f_{i,j}(x).$$

It is easy to see that $g(x) \in B^{p,\alpha}$. So (1) holds for g as $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_0$.

Proposition 2 There exists $g(x)$ which is continuous nowhere on $\mathbf{R}^2$ such that for which (1) holds as $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_0$.

Proof Need only to prove that $g(x)$ above is continuous nowhere on $\mathbf{R}^2$. Taking $(r_i, q_j) \in \mathbf{Q}_{i,j}$ for each pair (i, j) , we see that

$$(r_i, q_j) \in \cap_{q_j \leq q \leq j+1, q \in \mathbf{Q}} E_{r_i, q}^{i,j}, \quad (r_i, q_j) \in \cap_{q_j \leq q \leq j+1, q \in \mathbf{Q}} E_{r_i+1, q}^{i+1,j},$$

$$(r_i, q_j) \in \cap_{j+1 \leq q \leq q_j+1, q \in \mathbf{Q}} E_{r_i, q}^{i,j+1}, \quad (r_i, q_j) \in \cap_{j+1 \leq q \leq q_j+1, q \in \mathbf{Q}} E_{r_i+1, q}^{i+1,j+1},$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{i,j}((r_i, q_j)) &= \sum_{q_j \leq q \leq j+1, q \in \mathbf{Q}} \lambda_{r_i, q}^{i,j}, \\ f_{i+1,j}((r_i, q_j)) &= \sum_{q_j \leq q \leq j+1, q \in \mathbf{Q}} \lambda_{r_i+1, q}^{i+1,j}, \\ f_{i,j+1}((r_i, q_j)) &= \sum_{j+1 \leq q \leq q_j+1, q \in \mathbf{Q}} \lambda_{r_i, q}^{i,j+1}, \\ f_{i+1,j+1}((r_i, q_j)) &= \sum_{j+1 \leq q \leq q_j+1, q \in \mathbf{Q}} \lambda_{r_i+1, q}^{i+1,j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing

$$g((r_i, q_j)) = f_{i,j}((r_i, q_j)) + f_{i+1,j}((r_i, q_j)) + f_{i,j+1}((r_i, q_j)) + f_{i+1,j+1}((r_i, q_j)),$$

we have for $\tilde{q}_j > q_j$ that

$$\begin{aligned} &g((r_i, \tilde{q}_j)) - g((r_i, q_j)) = \\ &- \sum_{q_j \leq q < \tilde{q}_j, q \in \mathbf{Q}} \lambda_{r_i, q}^{i,j} - \sum_{q_j \leq q < \tilde{q}_j, q \in \mathbf{Q}} \lambda_{r_i+1, q}^{i+1,j} + \sum_{q_j+1 \leq q < \tilde{q}_j+1, q \in \mathbf{Q}} \lambda_{r_i, q}^{i,j+1} + \sum_{q_j+1 \leq q < \tilde{q}_j+1, q \in \mathbf{Q}} \lambda_{r_i+1, q}^{i+1,j+1}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$|g((r_i, \tilde{q}_j)) - g((r_i, q_j))| > |\lambda_{r_i, q_j}^{i,j}|.$$

It follows that $f(x)$ is not continuous at (r_i, q_j) . Proposition 2 follows.

5. Two remarks

Remark 1 Let $\mathcal{C}_l = \{\text{cubes } Q : |Q| \geq l^n > 0\}$. Let $BL_l^{p,\alpha}, BC_l^{p,\alpha}$ and $B_l^{p,\alpha}$ be the classes replacing $\mathcal{C}_1$ in Definition 1 and 2 by $\mathcal{C}_l$. By a simple dilation transform, we see that Theorem 3, Corollary 2 and 3, and Proposition 1 hold for $l > 0$ if $BL^{p,\alpha}, BC^{p,\alpha}$ and $B^{p,\alpha}$ are replaced by $BL_l^{p,\alpha}, BC_l^{p,\alpha}$ and $B_l^{p,\alpha}$, respectively. Let $M_{\mathcal{C}_0, l} f(x) = \sup_{x \in C \in \mathcal{C}_0, \text{diam}(C) < l} \frac{1}{|C|} \int_C |f(y)| dy$, it is easy to see from the proofs that Theorem 1 and 2, Proposition 1 and Corollary 1 hold for $M_{\mathcal{C}_0, l}$ and $BL_l^{p,\alpha}$ when $l > 0$.

Remark 2 Let $BL_R^{p,\alpha}, BC_R^{p,\alpha}$ and $B_R^{p,\alpha}$ be the classes replacing $\mathcal{C}_1$ in Definition 1 and 2 by $\mathcal{R}_0 = \{\text{rectangles } R \text{ with sides length } \geq 1 \text{ and fixed eccentricity}\}$, (the eccentricity of a rectangle is the ratio of its longest size over its shortest size). Then, Theorem 1-3, Corollary 1-3 and Proposition 1 hold still if $BL^{p,\alpha}, BC^{p,\alpha}$ and $B^{p,\alpha}$ are replaced by $BL_R^{p,\alpha}, BC_R^{p,\alpha}$ and $B_R^{p,\alpha}$, respectively. This can be seen by the facts:

$$R \subset Q \quad \text{and} \quad |R|^{-\alpha/pn-1/p} \leq (d_{\min}^n)^{-\alpha/pn-1/p} = c^{n(\alpha/pn+1/p)} |Q|^{-\alpha/pn-1/p},$$

since $-\alpha/pn-1/p < 0$, where $R \in \mathcal{R}_0$ with the shortest side length $d_{\min}$ and eccentricity c , Q is the cube with sides length $cd_{\min}$.

Acknowledgements This work was supported in part by NSF of China grant 11171280

References

- [1] M.Bateman, *Takeya sets and directional maximal operators in the plane*, Duke Math. J. 147(1),(2009):55-77.
- [2] R.Coifman and G.Weiss, *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83(1977), 569-645.
- [3] A.Cordoba and R.Fefferman, *On differentiation of integrals*, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 74(6),(1977):2211-2213.
- [4] Shunchao Long, *Estimates at or beyond endpoint in harmonic analysis: Bochner-Riesz means and spherical means*, arXiv:1103.0616,2011
- [5] A.Nagel, E.M.Stein, and S.Wainger, *Differentiation in lacunary directions*, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 75(3),(1978):1060-1062.
- [6] Javier Parcet and Keith M. Rogers, *Differentiation of integrals in higher dimensions*, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 110(13),(2013):4941-4944.
- [7] J.O.Stromberg, *Maximal functions for rectangles with given directions*. PhD thesis (Mittag-Leffler Institute, Djursholm, Sweden), 1976.
- [8] J.O.Stromberg. *Maximal functions associated to rectangles with uniformly distributed directions*. Ann Math.107(2),(1978):399-402.

- [9] E.M.Stein, Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality and oscillatory integrals, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1993,

Shunchao Long
Department of Mathematics,
Xiangtan University, Hunan, 411105 China
E-mail: sclong@xtu.edu.cn